

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,664	02/18/2004	Felix A. Montero-Julian	BECK1130-2	5199
47975	7590 10/05/2006	EXAMINER		INER
	N COULTER, INC.	DIBRINO, MARIANNE NMN		
C/O DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US LLP 4365 EXECUTIVE DR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1100			1644	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121-2133			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/782,664	MONTERO-JULIAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DiBrino Marianne	1644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-78 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-78 are subject to restriction and/or example.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dal 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-50 and 73-78, drawn to a method for identifying or determining the relative affinity of an MHC binding peptide and a system for identifying an MHC binding peptide for an MHC monomer, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.1 and Class 435, subclass 810, respectively.
- II. Claims 51-72, drawn to a method for measuring the function of an MHC monomer bound to an exchanged peptide for staining a cell displaying a peptide-restricted TCR, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.24.
- 2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different design, modes of operation and effects in that they have different method steps, different ingredients and different endpoints, *i.e.*, the method of Invention I having an endpoint that is detecting an MHC binding peptide vs the method of Invention II having an endpoint that is determining binding of the MHC monomer with a TCR, said MHC monomer binding a known MHC binding peptide.

Therefore, they are patentably distinct.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and Groups I and II have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. If Applicant elects the Invention of Group I, Applicant is further required to (1) elect a single disclosed species of method steps and ingredients (a specific HLA class I molecule, a specific tracer peptide tagged with a specific detectable label, a specific linkage to the solid support if the monomer is attached to a support, a specific incubation time and temperature, a specific molar excess of competitor peptide, the number of competitor peptides used, for example, HLA-A2 extracellular domains/β2m/MART-1 26-35, 100-fold molar excess of competitor peptide, incubating the sample for about 2 to 20 hours at about 21 degrees C, tracer peptide is HBc 18-27 tagged with FITC, only one competitor peptide is used, the HLA complex is soluble) to which claims would be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable and (2) to list all claims readable thereon including those subsequently added.

These species are distinct because their structures are different.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,664

Art Unit: 1644

5. If Applicant elects the Invention of Group II, Applicant is further required to (1) elect a single disclosed species of method steps and ingredients (a specific HLA class I molecule, a specific tracer peptide tagged with a specific detectable label, a specific multivalent entity labeled with a specific detectable label, a specific incubation time and temperature, a specific molar excess of competitor peptide, the number of competitor peptides used, for example, HLA-A2 extracellular domains/β2m/MART-1 26-35, 100-fold molar excess of competitor peptide, incubating the sample for about 6 to 20 hours at about 21 degrees C, tracer peptide is HBc 18-27 tagged with FITC, only one competitor peptide is used, the multivalent entity is streptavidin labeled with PE) to which claims would be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable and (2) to list all claims readable thereon including those subsequently added.

Page 3

These species are distinct because their structures are different.

- 6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.
- 7. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 8. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. 809.02(a).
- 9. Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 of the other invention.
- 10. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,664

Art Unit: 1644

11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. 1.17(h).

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Marianne DiBrino whose telephone number is 571-272-0842. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Christina Y. Chan, can be reached on 571-272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marianne DiBrino, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Group 1640

Technology Center 1600

September 18, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 4